







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

1				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,026	11/14/2001	Jean-Claude Neaux	9320.138US01	6476
23552 759	0 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			BREVARD, MAERENA W	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727 DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

1-0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/993,026	NEAUX, JEAN-CLAUDE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maerena W. Brevard	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 F</u>	ebruary 2003 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	l .				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 12-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9,16-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-8,11 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Solution of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Solution of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Solution of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/993,026

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 10, 12-14, and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the election requirement in Paper No. 15. It is noted that although applicant withdrew claims 12-14, Examiner is withdrawing claims 10 and 15 as being drawn to a nonelected species.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the applicant wishes not to be bound to the Examiner's logic in requesting election of species. This is not found persuasive because the application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct species and applicant has not submitted evidence showing otherwise.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the gear system (Claim 4) must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference signs mentioned in the description: 1121a, 1121b, teeth;

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/993,026 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

31, stud; and 102, recess. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "101" has been used to designate both a recess and the bar end. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "31" and "131" have both been used to designate a stud. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

7. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,026 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

9. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 3 is indefinite since it is unclear what is "the latter."

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the guidance and maintenance side rails" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 is indefinite since the limitations "more or less" in line 8 and "and/or" in lines 14 and 15 are unclear and indefinite.

The dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-3, 9, 16-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Depoix (EP 0664241A1).

Depoix discloses a roof rack bar comprising:

- A transverse bar (1);
- Two fastening feet (2) mounted at the ends of the transverse bar;
- The feet are capable of sliding along the side rails in an adjustment position (Figures 1 and 2);

Application/Control Number: 09/993,026 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

• At least one of the feet comprises a housing in which one end of the transverse bar can slide between two extreme positions in order to adapt to a variable distance between the side rails (Figure 3);

- A first locking means (7, 8, 9);
- A second complementary locking means (6, 10, 11) fitted on the transverse bar;
- The second locking means is fitted on the transverse bar to operate in conjunction
 with the first locking means in the locked position to eliminate or reduce the
 transversal play, to the same degree claimed;
- The first locking means comprises at least one male (7) component capable of operating in conjunction with at least on female (8) component fitted on the transverse bar;
- At least one means (8) for actuating the locking/unlocking of the transverse bar;
- The roof rack consists of at least two roof rack bars (5, Figure 1);
- One of the bars is fixed; and
- The roof rack bars can be grouped together to constitute an aerofoil, to the same degree claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 4-8, 11, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,026

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Rak, Aftanas, Stapleton, Blankenburg et al., Gibbs et al., and Thulin et al. are cited

for slideable roof rack bars.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

Kuch

Maerena Brevard September 23, 2003

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700